



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/556,795	04/25/2000	AKIRA SHIMOKOHBE	106096	8141	
25944	7590 11/14/2002				
OLIFF & BI	ERRIDGE, PLC		EXAM	INER	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			SARKAR,	ASOK K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2829		
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A = = 12 = = 42 = = 12			
		Applicati n N .	pplicant(s)		
Offic Acti n Summary		09/556,795	HATA ET AL.		
Jane Aca ii Saiii	iliai y	Examiner	Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this		Asok K. Sarkar	2829		
Peri d for Reply	communicati n app	ears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY P THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C - Extensions of time may be available under ti after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe - Any reply received by the Office later than th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR  Status	OMMUNICATION.  The provisions of 37 CFR 1.1:  of this communication.  Than thirty (30) days, a reply  maximum statutory period we  riod for reply will, by statute,  tree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.		
1) Responsive to communication	ition(s) filed on <u>12 A</u>	pril 2002 .			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)∐ Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in	condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with Disposition of Claims	the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-22</u> is/are pendir	g in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1					
5) Claim(s) is/are allow					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-22</u> is/are rejecte					
7) Claim(s) is/are objec	ted to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers		,			
9) ☐ The specification is objected	*				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)□ accept	ted or b) objected to by t	ne Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is obj	-	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ No					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the	•				
2. Certified copies of the					
3.  Copies of the certified application from the second control of the second control	e International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage received.		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the for 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	eign language provi	isional application has be	en received.		
Attachment(s)			<del></del>		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC		5) Notice of Ir	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2829

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saotome, "Suparplastic Micro-forming of Microstructures", Proceedings, IEEE Workshop on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems, p 343 348,1994 in view of Barsoum, "Fundamentals of Ceramics", Chapter 9, p 311 317, McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. 1997.

Regarding claim 3, Saotome discloses a method for producing a thin filmstructure by the following steps:

- forming on a semiconductor die substrate a layer of an amorphous alloy material in columns 1 and 2 (see Fig.11);
- heating (forging) the layer of glass to a temperature within the supercooled
   liquid phase region and thereby deforming the layer to a given shape, and
- cooling the alloy to room temperature from the deformation temperature to stop deformation and form the structure in Fig. 11.

Saotome fails to expressly disclose the layer as a thin film, and exhibiting a viscous flow between  $10^8 - 10^{13}$  Pa.S when heated at a temperature within the

Art Unit: 2829

supercooled liquid phase region and deforming the thin film to a given shape without the use of any external force.

However, Saotome's article in their results part in page 348 clearly points out that amorphous alloys in a supercooled liquid state can be used for micromechanical components/structures due to deformation under viscous flow.

The V-grove die is the substrate (Si) and the specimen on top is the amorphous thin film in Saotome's Fig. 1 in page 343. The dimension of the die throat and comparing it with the thickness of the specimen in Fig. 1 establishes that the amorphous specimen is a thin film. The deformation of a material without any external force is inherent under viscous flow deformation. Saotome is using the press for the deformation only to develop some theoretical deformation curves such as Fig. 9. A simple calculation and extrapolation of curves to very low tensile stress (such as stress due to the weight of the film without the use of any external pressure) would show that the viscous flow in Pa.S unit (viscosity within the supercooled phase region) to be within the 10<sup>8</sup> – 10<sup>13</sup> Pa.S range.

Additionally, Barsoum teaches the fundamental properties of glass in terms of viscosity in paragraph 9.4 of Chapter 9 where range of viscosity for glassy/amorphous/ noncrystalline materials against temperature are shown in Fig. 9.10 in page 316 and the supercooled liquid properties of glass is shown in page 311.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form a thin film of the amorphous/glassy alloy material in stead of the layer, which will inherently exhibit a viscous flow between  $10^8 - 10^{13}$  Pa.S when

Art Unit: 2829

heated at a temperature within the supercooled liquid phase region as taught by

Barsoum and heat the alloy film to a temperature within the supercooled region to

deform the alloy without applying any external pressure and cooling the alloy to room
temperature to retain the deformed structure as taught by Saotome.

Regarding claim 4, Saotome discloses a thin film-structure where the amorphous alloy has a glass transition temperature within 200 - 600°C in column 1, page 346.

Saotome fails to disclose the temperature width of not less than 20°C in the supercooled liquid phase region.

Barsoum teaches that many glassy materials are known to possess a glass transition temperature within 200 - 600°C and a temperature width of not less than 20°C in the supercooled liquid phase region (see Fig. 9.10 in page 316).

Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ an amorphous material of glass having a glass transition temperature within 200 - 600°C and a temperature width of not less than 20°C in the supercooled liquid phase region since Barsoum teaches that amorphous materials with a glass transition temperature within 200 - 600°C and a temperature width of not less than 20°C in the supercooled liquid phase region is well known.

Regarding claim 5, deformation of the thin film of glass by its own weight is inherent in the disclosed method of Saotome.

4. Claims 6, 7 and 9 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saotome, "Suparplastic Micro-forming of Microstructures", Proceedings, IEEE Workshop on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems, p 343 – 348,1994

Art Unit: 2829

1994 in view of Barsoum, "Fundamentals of Ceramics", Chapter 9, p 311 – 317, McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. 1997 as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Aksyuk, US 5,994,159.

Regarding claim 6, Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach deformation of thin film in the thin film structure by mechanical external force.

Aksyuk teaches a method of fabricating a thin film structure for micro-mechanical device in which the thin film beam 8 (see Fig. 1) is deformed by external mechanical force in column 6, line 22.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deform the thin film of Saotome by mechanical external force to form the thin film structure as taught by Aksyuk.

Regarding claim 7, Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach deformation of thin film in the thin film structure by electrostatic external force.

Aksyuk teaches a method of fabricating a thin film structure for micro-mechanical device in which the thin film beam 8 (see Fig. 1) is deformed by external electrostatic force in column 5, line 62.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deform the thin film of Werner by electrostatic external force to form the thin film structure as taught by Aksyuk.

Regarding claim 9, Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach deformation of thin film in the thin film structure by electrostatic external force.

Art Unit: 2829

Aksyuk teaches a method of fabricating a thin film structure for micro-mechanical device in which the thin film beam 8 (see Fig. 1) is deformed by external electrostatic force wherein an electrode layer made of conductive material is formed nearby the thin film, an opposite electrode being formed opposing the thin film and the thin film is deformed by the electrostatic external forces generated between the electrode layer and the opposite electrode in between column 5, line 61 and column 6, line 13.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deform the thin film of Saotome by electrostatic external force to form the thin film structure wherein an electrode layer made of conductive material is formed nearby the thin film, an opposite electrode being formed opposing the thin film and the thin film is deformed by the electrostatic external forces generated between the electrode layer and the opposite electrode as taught by Aksyuk.

Regarding claim 10, Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach deformation of thin film in the thin film structure by magnetic external force.

Aksyuk teaches a method of fabricating a thin film structure for micro-mechanical device in which the thin film beam 8 (see Fig. 1) is deformed by external magnetic force in column 6, line 15.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deform the thin film of Saotomer by magnetic external force to form the thin film structure as taught by Aksyuk.

Regarding claim 11, Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach deformation of thin film in the thin film structure by magnetic external force.

Art Unit: 2829

Aksyuk teaches a method of fabricating a thin film structure for micro-mechanical device in which the thin film beam 8 (see Fig. 1) is deformed by external electrostatic force wherein a magnetic layer made of a magnetic material is formed nearby the thin film, an opposite magnet being formed opposing the thin film and the thin film is deformed by the magnetic external forces generated between the magnetic layer and the opposite magnet in column 6, lines 14 - 20.

Page 7

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deform the thin film of Saotome by applying magnetic external force to form the thin film structure wherein a magnetic layer made of a magnetic material is formed nearby the thin film, an opposite electrode being formed opposing the thin film and the thin film is deformed by the magnetic external forces generated between the magnetic layer and the opposite magnet as taught by Aksyuk.

Regarding claims 12 - 14, Saotome in view of Barsoum teaches deforming the thin film amorphous material by heating as described earlier with respect to claims 3 and 5.

Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach deforming the thin film by magnetic forces where the thin film is heated in the Curie Temperature range of the magnetic material such as Ni, Fe, Co and Mn, the Curie Temperature being in the range of 210 -1200°C.

Aksyuk teaches deforming the thin film by magnetic forces generated by induced current but fails to expressly teach that the magnetic force can be generated by using

magnetic materials such as Ni, Fe, Co and Mn having the Curie Temperature in the range of 210 – 1200°C.

However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deform the thin film of Saotome by heating it within supercooled liquid region and applying magnetic external force to form the thin film structure wherein a magnetic layer is made of a common magnetic materials such as Ni, Fe, Co and Mn having the Curie Temperature in the range of 210 – 1200°C in stead of an electromagnet.

Regarding claims 15 - 18, Saotome in view of Barsoum teaches deforming the thin film amorphous material by heating as described earlier with respect to claims 3 and 5.

Regarding claim 15, Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach to form a subsidiary layer made of a material having a different thermal expansion coefficient from that of the amorphous material nearby the film and the thin film is deformed by the stress resulting from the difference in thermal expansion coefficient between the thin film and the subsidiary layer generated in their interface. Saotome in view of Barsoum also fails to teach the magnitude of the thermal expansion coefficient, the thickness of the subsidiary layer and the make up of the subsidiary layer.

Aksyuk teaches a method of producing a thin film-structure where the beam is made up of two layers with one layer being polysilicon of a thickness of 1.5 micron and each layer having different linear thermal expansion and the deformation of the thin film

is actuated by generating stress due to differential contraction of the two layers which is the result of different linear thermal expansion.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deform the thin film modifying Saotome's method by using a subsidiary layer made of material having different linear thermal expansion than that of the amorphous thin film material and by simultaneous application of heat as taught by Aksyuk.

Regarding claims 16 – 18, Aksyuk teaches the thickness of the subsidiary layer in column 5, line 11 but fails to teach the magnitude of the thermal expansion coefficient, and the make up of the subsidiary layer except that it is polysilicon in column 5, line 10.

However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to judiciously adjust and control parameters of the subsidiary layer such as thermal expansion coefficient, which also depends on the composition and the relative thickness of this layer with respect to the thin film during the deformation of an amorphous glassy thin film structure by the generation of stress due to thermal expansion mismatch through routine experimentation and optimization to achieve optimum benefits (see MPEP 2144.05) and it would not yield any unexpected results. Since the deformation is also induced by heat, it would be logical to combine the substrate material with the thin film material to provide an efficient deformation mechanism by the thermal expansion mismatch technique.

Art Unit: 2829

Regarding claims 19-22, Saotome in view of Barsoum teaches deforming the thin film amorphous material by heating as described earlier with respect to claims 3 and 5.

Regarding claim 19, Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach to form a subsidiary layer including an internal stress is formed nearby the film and the thin film is deformed by the stress resulting from the difference in internal stress between the thin film and the subsidiary layer generated in their interface. Saotome also fails to teach the magnitude of the compressive or tensile stress, the thickness of the subsidiary layer and the make up of the subsidiary layer.

Aksyuk teaches a method of producing a thin film-structure where the beam is made up of two layers with one layer being polysilicon of a thickness of 1.5 micron and each layer having high intrinsic strain and the deformation of the thin film is actuated due to internal stresses of the two in column 5, lines 19 - 33.

Regarding claims 20 - 22, Aksyuk fails to expressly disclose the magnitude of the stress in the subsidiary layer, the relative thickness with respect to the thin film and the composition of the subsidiary layer made by mixing the substrate and the amorphous thin film.

However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to judiciously adjust and control parameters of the subsidiary layer such as the magnitude of the internal intrinsic stress which also depends on the composition and the relative thickness with respect to the thin film during the deformation of an amorphous glassy thin film structure by the generation of stress due

Application/Control Number: 09/556,795 Page 11

Art Unit: 2829

to the difference in internal stress between them through routine experimentation and optimization to achieve optimum benefits (see MPEP 2144.05) and it would not yield any unexpected results. Since the deformation is also induced by heat, it would be logical to combine the substrate material with the thin film material to provide an efficient deformation mechanism by the internal stress differences between the two materials.

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saotome, "Suparplastic Micro-forming of Microstructures", Proceedings, IEEE Workshop on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems, p 343 – 348,1994 in view of Barsoum, "Fundamentals of Ceramics", Chapter 9, p 311 – 317, McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. 1997 and Aksyuk, US 5,994,159 as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Tregilgas, EP 0,762,176 A2

Saotome in view of Barsoum fails to teach deformation of thin film in the thin film structure by electrostatic external force.

Aksyuk teaches a method of fabricating a thin film structure for micro-mechanical device in which the thin film beam 8 (see Fig. 1) is deformed by external electrostatic force wherein an electrode layer made of conductive material is formed nearby the thin film, an opposite electrode being formed opposing the thin film and the thin film is deformed by the electrostatic external forces generated between the electrode layer and the opposite electrode in between column 5, line 61 and column 6, line 13.

Aksyuk fails to teach that the thin film is made of a conductive material.

Application/Control Number: 09/556,795 Page 12

Art Unit: 2829

Tregilgas teaches a method of producing a thin film structure where they teach forming a beam 24 (see Fig. 3f) of an amorphous conductive material (nitrided aluminum or non-aluminum alloy) in column 1, lines 49 – 53.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deform the thin film of Saotome by electrostatic external force to form the thin film structure as taught by Aksyuk wherein the thin film is made of conductive material as taught by Tregilgas and the thin film is deformed by the external electrostatic force generated between the thin film and the opposite electrode to form the thin film structure.

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed April 4, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The grounds for rejection of claims 3 – 22 are provided in the above-mentioned paragraphs. The actual viscous flow of the amorphous alloys can be determined and estimated from the figures provided by Saotome. Additionally, Saotome provides an example with the La-Al-Ni alloy and teaches that any material that exhibits supercooled liquid state in other words materials that are glass or amorphous can be subjected to the micro-forming process for fabrication of micromechanical components (see abstract). Applicant's viscosity range 10<sup>8</sup> to 10<sup>13</sup> Pa.S is quite wide range and as shown by Barsoum in Fig. 9.10, all glassy materials fall within this range. This is also the case for amorphous metal alloys (see for example the reference by Busch, Appl. Phys. Lett., Vol. 72 (21), p 2695 – 2697,1998). Applicant also argues that Saotome does not teach the amorphous material is heated to a temperature within the

Art Unit: 2829

supercooled liquid phase region so that the thin film has a viscous flow between 10<sup>8</sup> to 10<sup>13</sup> Pa.S. Examiner notes that this limitation is not in the claim language.

### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. This application contains claims 1 - 2 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 6. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asok K. Sarkar whose telephone number is 703 308 2521. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8 AM- 5 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 703 308 1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7722 for regular communications and 703 308 7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 4918.

Asok K. sarkar November 5, 2002 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800